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Critical Challenge: Regional and Local Bed Variability

• Preservation of oldest ice hinges on local bed topography and geothermal flux – COLDEX could miss oldest ice even if it is just a few kilometers away

• Logistics costs preclude conventional ice coring for exploratory drilling

• Meet this challenge by building a next-generation ice melt probe that uses optical dust-logging to profile age vs. depth quickly in several locations

• Probe will also provide thermal state of the ice sheet bed, critical for understanding preservation of old ice

• Many applications for other problems in glaciology
Progression to 103 m Depth, Sample Acquisition, and Probe Recovery

- Measured location of probe tip
- Meters of water equiv. above tip

- Lost tension and corrected
- End test
- Final profile

- Depth of Ice Diver nose below ice surface
- Sufficient water to detect pressure
- Depth of water in the melt hole measured from the bottom of the hole
- Tail observed cooled
- Water + methanol

Depth from bottom of the melt hole

Depth from 0 to 120 m

Time (hrs)

[Image of DTS cable in melt hole, anti-freeze (ethanol) injection, descending Ice Diver, thermal melt probe, and melt water samplers]
Integration of Dust-Logging and the ‘Classical’ Ice Diver

- Pendulum-steering flange blocks direct light path
- Challenges: 2.5 km depth (longer spool), East Antarctic temperatures (additional heaters)
Not much activity due to COVID limitations

Completed projects
Ohio Range, Pirrit Hills

In progress
Thwaites Glacier - results from Mt Muphy, Hudson Mtn season delayed
Greendrill – delayed until at least summer 2022

Lots of future projects in planning stages
Subglacial Bedrock Activities

Drill Sites

Status
- Complete
- Planned

Source: PGC, UMN, Esri
Sampling the bed to reconstruct Greenland Ice Sheet history

- Uses existing drills on the ready from the US Ice Drilling Program.
- Can drill through 700 m ice thickness to obtain 4-m-long bedrock cores.
- Drill sites selection criteria: frozen bed, Safety/crevasse considerations, bedrock lithology, science questions (eg, NEGIS).

PIs: Schaefer & Briner Young, Anandakrishnan, DeConto, Winckler
Simulations of Greenland ice: Drilling sites sensitive monitors of ice sheet response to past interglaciations

- Locations chosen to represent a range of sites to constrain ice sheet contributions to sea level during past interglacials.

- At each of four target regions, ASIG and Winkie drills will be used to acquire bedrock cores from a transect of sites.
Where in Greenland are we able to drill using IDP’s current bed-access drills?

- Need frozen basal thermal state
  30.2% of GrIS (using MacGregor BTS v2)

- Need ice thickness <700 m
  15.4% of GrIS

- Both frozen and <700 m
  4.8% of GrIS meets both criteria

- Is it safe to work? Crevasses >0.005/yr strain rate
  3.3% of GrIS (Poinar and Andrews, 2021)

- Science and bedrock lithology considerations?
  <<3.3% of GrIS
The selected sites check out for bedrock lithology; frozen bed; ASIG > ELA = DC3 landing; ice thickness; Stars = landing strips/stations. We’re exploring traverse.

@ transect locations:
- **ASIG Drill site**: 500-300 m ice thickness.
- **Winke Drill site**: 100 m ice thickness
- **Shaw Drill sites**: pro-glacial landscape

We will target 4+ m-long rock cores
A multimillion-year-old record of Greenland vegetation and glacial history preserved in sediment beneath 1.4 km of ice at Camp Century


Christ et al., 2021 – conclude that the GrIS persisted through much of the Pleistocene but melted and reformed at least once since 1.1 Ma

Lots of continuing work
Modern observations show unequivocally that the Amundsen Sea Embayment is undergoing the largest changes of any ice-ocean system in Antarctica.

The question: Is this geologically unprecedented?
What we do know: Glacial history of Mount Murphy Massif

Exposed at:

Mt. Murphy Massif Digital Elevation Model (DEM) ≥893 m asl

Bucher Ridge striated bedrock [1518–998]

Mt. Murphy

Pope Glacier
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LOW: 5.9 ka

Johnson et al., 2020
What we do know: Glacial history of Mount Murphy Massif

Johnson et al., 2020

Height above ice surface (m)

Time gap:

Exposure age (ka)

Johnson, Venturelli et al. (in review)

Johnson et al., 2020
Evidence lies below ice cover

Exposed at:

5.8 ka
What are we finding?

Depth profile from bedrock cores are luminescence saturated.

Suggests no ice-free conditions in past 200-400 kyr
Still allows for ice thinning with residual snow, ice, sediment.
Measurable in situ $^{14}$C at concentrations unequivocally above background.

In situ $^{14}$C concentrations as high as 42,000 atoms g$^{-1}$ in core tops, and as low as 5,800 atoms g$^{-1}$ at depth.
Measurements of $^{10}\text{Be}$ from the same samples illustrate a story consistent with in situ $^{14}\text{C}$ data.
What does it all mean?

Consistent with output from simulations that model 10s of meters of thinning over a period of a few thousand years, followed by rethickening in the recent past.
SALSA: Subglacial Antarctic Lakes Scientific Access

• SALSA is in wrap-up phases with some aspects of the project already closed out, some finishing out their extensions

• Three papers recently out for review, others soon to be

• Broader Impacts:
  • Kathy Kasic (Cal State Sacramento) and team produced film “Lake at the Bottom of the World”. Screenings at multiple film festivals and conferences, including recently at AAAS meeting
  • Other distribution options in the works
RAID update from John Goodge

- RAID platform completed successful tests and field trials during the (pre-COVID) 2019-20 season and is considered by the project to be field ready.

- During the current deployment restrictions, RAID is undergoing further improvements and modifications with design and fabrication work in the US.

- A recent paper in *Annals of Glaciology* reports on recent field trials.
SWAIS 2C (Sensitivity of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet to 2°C)

- Drilling is led by NZ; US component of the project led by Molly Patterson (SUNY Binghamton)

The project will collect and study geological (rocks), glaciological (ice), and geophysical (Earth physical properties) data and provide new information to guide the development of climate and ice sheet numerical models to better understand and predict how the ice sheet on West Antarctica will contribute to future sea level rise.